Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/19 : CIA-RDP94-00798R000200130049-8

BOUING AN	D TRANSMITTAL SLIP	28 oc	t 85	•
(Name, office sym building, Agency/	bol, room number, Post)		Initials	Date
XXXXXXXXX			10	28/
PO/RA			Sm	286
AC/OPS			B	290
NC/AS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		De	211
AC/OPS				370
ction	File	Note and Return		<u></u>
pprovel	For Clearance	Per Conversation Prepare Reply See Me Signature		
s Requested	For Correction			
rculate	For Your Information			
omment pordination	Investigate			
	Justify			

I'm sure Molly is right, although I seem to recall that Jerry and I felt that the bureau was more secure where it is currently located than in the proposed new Embassy. However, as part of a "compound" (à la Jordan) it might make some sense. I wonder, however, where the money will

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of clearances, and si	(OVer) approvals, concurrences, disposals, miler actions
FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)	Room No.—Bidg.
Chandlee	Phone No.
\$041-102 * GPO: 1983 0 - 381 530 (030)	OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/19: CIA-RDP94-00798R000200130049-8

ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP							
TO: (Name, office symbol, in building, Agency/Post)	room number,		Initials	Date			
a. ACIDY			13	2500			
2 D/FB15 (rote Holly's comment)	M	10/28			
3.		· .					
4.							
Aclon			:				
Action	File	Note and Return					
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation					
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply					
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me					
Comment	Investigate	Signature					
Coordination	Justify						

I'm not sure what memorandums for the record he is talking about, but it is not so much a matter of FBIS expressing lack of interest as of the Embassy rejectingour being included in the actual embass'y building on the grounds that we were the largest embassy component by far and would require an inordinate amount of space in what is otherwise a very small embassy. had pursued this with Ambassador Sutherland and gotten a firm rejection at the times plans for the new embassy were being drawn up and Amb. Leidel carried on with Sutherland's policy.

The idea of a "compound" and us bei

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post)

Room No .-- Bldg.

in our own building on the compound a new one. mjl

Phone No.

STAT

5041-102

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76) Prescribed by GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

LOGROTE - Administrative Starr, 22 October 1985	0574			
	25 X 1			
On 16 October 1985, SSA/DDA Office, called	25 X 1			
regarding my inquiry about moving the FBIS/Gulf Bureau into the new Embassy Compound being planned for Manama. Advised that				
FBO State Department rejected the idea on the basis that they are now too far along to add the FBIS Gulf Bureau. noted he was shown numerous Memorandums For The Record quoting various FBIS employees as having informed State Department that FBIS was not interested in moving into the new Embassy Compound.	25X1			
I reminded that the Chief FBIS Gulf Bureau suggested	25 X 1			
buying land ad acent to the new Embassy Compound for a new FBIS Bureau which could be included with-in the Embassy perimeter wall. advised that FBO does not believe that this land is available,	25X1 25X1			
however, stated he would push for the FBIS Gulf Bureau to be included inside the Embassy perimeter wall, if FBIS is successful in obtaining the adjacent property.	25X1			
	25 X 1			
(cc: D/FRIS /C/ODS C/FSC C/Culf Purpose C/AS A company				
(cc: D/FBIS, √C/OPS, C/ESG, C/Gulf Bureau, C/AS, Lognote Chrono)	•			
All Portions CONFIDENTIAL				
	25 X 1			